TANGIER WOOD LTD RISK ASSESSMENT: BLACKSMITHING

Hazard is anything that may cause harm.	Likelihood		Impact (I)			Diel	- Sc	ore (aleu	latio	n
Risk is the chance that someone or something could be harmed by the hazard, measured by combining (multiplying) the likelihood of it happening with its impact (severity). For example, there may be a 'possible' likelihood that someone that is not competent could fall from a ladder (3 rating – see right) combined with a 'moderate' impact of multiple injuries (2 rating) which creates a score of 6 (low rick). However, the risk	(⊑)					Nisr		Lil	kelih	ood	
should be reduced to as low as reasonably practicable (ALARP) through the implementation of control measures.	1 – Remote /		1 – Minor				1	2	3	4	5
Dynamic Risk Assessment compliments generic and specific risk assessment. Regardless of completing this risk assessment, it is beholden on the person creating the risk to continue to monitor the activity and the	Rare 2 – Unlikely	ed by	2 – Moderate 3 – Major	als		5	5	10	15	20	25
control measures. Any changes to the activity (including the environmental conditions) or the control measures, must be addressed via the mechanism of a dynamic risk assessment such that risks remain ALARP.	3 – Possible 4 – Probable	Aultipli	4 – Severe 5 – Critical	Equa	l m	4	4	8	12	16	20
	5 – Highly Probable	2	Note: impact number is unlikely to change		p a	3	3	6	9	12	15
	(Almost Certain)		with control measures		c t	2	2	4	6	8	10
						1	1	2	3	4	5

Group:	Cadets / DofE / Organised Groups	Assessor (Name):	Jordan Stenton
Activity:	Blacksmithing	Assessor's signature:	5 An
Canaria ar Spacific Bick Accessment	Creatio	Date created:	23 January 2024
Generic of Specific Risk Assessment:	Specific	Date reviewed/updated	8 January 2025

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	
			Who or what might be harmed		Asso exis	essment ting con	with trols	Is residual risk acceptable in the context of risk	Is residual risk acceptable in the context of risk Reasonable		Rease a cont	sessme addition rol mea	ent with nal asures	
Ref	Activity / element (Step 1a)	Hazards identified (Step 1b)	e.g. Participants – injury Staff - injury (Step 2)	Existing control measures (Step 3a)	L (1 to 5) (Step 3b)	I (1 to 5) (Step 3c)	Score (L x I) (Step 3d)	appetite for the activity? (Yes / No) – Refer to Risk Score Calculation above If Yes, move to column (n). If No, identify additional controls (Step 3e)	additional controls that can be implemented to reduce risk to ALARP (Step 3f)	L (1 to 5) (Step 3g)	I (1 to 5) (Step 3h)	Score (L x I) (Step 3i)	List required action(s) to instigate controls (Step 3j)	
1	Forge layout	Trips/use of tools/hot surfaces	Participants / staff	Forging area demarcated by pen - physical barrier around forging area. Forging area checked and cleared of any trip hazards. Only x2 participants (and Blacksmith) within the penned area at any one time. No participant to enter penned off area without express permission from Blacksmith. Participant waiting detail - remain outside of the penned-off area at all times	1	2	2	YES					Instructor/safety staff to control every aspect of activity at all times.	

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)
			Who or what might be harmed		Assessment with existing controls		with trols	Is residual risk acceptable in the context of risk	Reasonable	Rease a cont	sessme addition rol mea	ent with nal asures	
Ref	Activity / element (Step 1a)	Hazards identified (Step 1b)	Participants – injury Staff - injury (Step 2)	Existing control measures (Step 3a)	L (1 to 5) (Step 3b)	I (1 to 5) (Step 3c)	Score (L x I) (Step 3d)	appetite for the activity? (Yes / No) – Refer to Risk Score Calculation above If Yes, move to column (n). If No, identify additional controls (Step 3e)	additional controls that can be implemented to reduce risk to ALARP (Step 3f)	L (1 to 5) (Step 3g)	I (1 to 5) (Step 3h)	Score (L x I) (Step 3i)	List required action(s) to instigate controls (Step 3j)
2	Blacksmithing	Incorrect techniques used by participants	Participants	Experienced, full- time, qualified, Blacksmith Instructor. Blacksmith provides full safety brief, demo and explanation at start of lesson/practical. Participants taught words of command at start of lesson/practical	2	2	4	YES	Additional staff supporting supervision				Instructor/safety staff to control every aspect of activity at all times.
	Plastamithing	Mouseent	Desticipante	Lesson taught in slow time. All practical activity supervised 2-1 by Blacksmith.	1			VES	Additional staff				
3	Blacksmithing	Movement around forging area	Participants	Blacksmith explains and demos movement around forge at start of lesson/practical.	1	2	2	YES	Additional staff supporting supervision				Instructor/safety staff to control every aspect of activity at all times.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)
			Who or what might be harmed		Asse exis	essment ting con	with trols	Is residual risk acceptable in the context of risk	Reasonable	Rease a cont	sessment with additional rol measures		
Ref	Activity / element (Step 1a)	Hazards identified (Step 1b)	e.g. • Participants – injury Staff - injury (Step 2)	Existing control measures (Step 3a)	L (1 to 5) (Step 3b)	I Score (1 to 5) (L x I) (Step 3c) 3d)		appetite for the activity? (Yes / No) – Refer to Risk Score Calculation above If Yes, move to column (n). If No, identify additional controls (Step 3e)	additional controls that can be implemented to reduce risk to ALARP (Step 3f)	L (1 to 5) (Step 3g)	I (1 to 5) (Step 3h)	Score (L x I) (Step 3i)	List required action(s) to instigate controls (Step 3j)
				Blacksmith explains and demos words of command for movement around forge at start of lesson/practical. All movement controlled and in slow time. Forging equipment placed to minimise movement around the forging area.									
4	PPE	Injury – burns/burrs/ sharp objects	Participants	Blacksmith explains and demos PPE and order of dress at started of lesson/practical. Participants issued welding glove, eye protection and apron; checked	1	3	3	Yes	Additional staff supporting supervision				Instructor/safety staff to control every aspect of activity at all times.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)
			Who or what might be harmed		Asse exis	essment ting con	with trols	Is residual risk acceptable in the context of risk	Reasonable	Reass a cont	sessme Iddition rol mea	ent with nal asures	
Ref	Activity / element (Step 1a)	Hazards identified (Step 1b)	e.g. • Participants – injury Staff - injury (Step 2)	Existing control measures (Step 3a)	L (1 to 5) (Step 3b)	I Score o 5) (1 to 5) (L x I) tep (Step (Step b) 3c) 3d)		appetite for the activity? (Yes / No) – Refer to Risk Score Calculation above If Yes, move to column (n). If No, identify additional controls (Step 3e)	additional controls that can be implemented to reduce risk to ALARP (Step 3f)	L (1 to 5) (Step 3g)	I (1 to 5) (Step 3h)	Score (L x I) (Step 3i)	List required action(s) to instigate controls (Step 3j)
				for loose hair and clothing. No participants to enter forging area without correct PPE and order of dress.									
5	Kit & equipment	Incorrect use of tools/kit and equipment Faulty kit/equipment	Participants / staff	Only Blacksmith to set-up kit and equipment. Blacksmith explains and demos named parts and safe use of kit, equipment and tools at start of lesson/practical. All kit and equipment checked for serviceability by Blacksmith prior to start of lesson/practical. All kit and equipment	1	2	2	Yes					All transitions in view and closely monitored by safety staff

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)
			Who or what might be harmed		Asse exis	Assessment with existing controls		ls residual risk acceptable in the context of risk	Reasonable	Rease a cont	sessmo additio rol me	ent with nal asures	
Ref	Activity / element (Step 1a)	Hazards identified (Step 1b)	and how, e.g. Participants – injury Staff - injury (Step 2)	Existing control measures (Step 3a)	L (1 to 5) (Step 3b)	I (1 to 5) (Step 3c)	Score (L x I) (Step 3d)	appetite for the activity? (Yes / No) – Refer to Risk Score Calculation above If Yes, move to column (n). If No, identify additional controls (Step 3e)	additional controls that can be implemented to reduce risk to ALARP (Step 3f)	L (1 to 5) (Step 3g)	I (1 to 5) (Step 3h)	Score (L x I) (Step 3i)	List required action(s) to instigate controls (Step 3j)
				checked regularly by Blacksmith throughout duration of lesson/practical. Any faulty kit/equipment quarantined.									

Authoriser (See risk management table on next page)	Name	Post	Date	Signature
Existing and additional controls agreed	Jordan Stenton	Tangier Wood Director	8 January 2025	St
Where risk score is over 15 Tangier Wood Director to verify suitability of proposed controls and confirm additional controls are implemented.				

NOTES

Ris	k = Likelihood x Impact		
Like	lihood	Definition	
5	Highly Probable (Almost Certain)	Is expected to occur in most circumstances	
4	Probable	Will probably occur at some time, or in most circumstances	
3	Possible	Fairly likely to occur at some time, or some circumstances	
2	Unlikely	Is unlikely to occur, but could occur at sometime	
1	Remote / Rare	May only occur in exceptional circumstances	
Impact Example (Health Safety, Environment & Safeguarding)			
	Fatality	or permanent, life changing injuries to an individual.	

5	Critical	 Fatality or permanent, life changing injuries to an individual. Incident causing a major environmental impact. A serious safeguarding incident which may have a life altering effect
4	Severe	 Injuries which have a short-term impact on normal way of or quality of life. Moderate damage to an extended area and/or area with moderate environmental sensitivity (scarce/ valuable) requiring months of remediation. Increased safeguarding risk (cadet lone travelling) / Multiple safeguarding incidents
3	Major	 Injury requiring the emergency services. Moderate damage to an area, and that can be remedied internally. Actions which may create strain on the safeguarding supervision of cadets (low ratios or remote supervision etc)
2	Moderate	 Injury requiring first aid Damage to an area that will be immediately repaired. Normal activity that has the potential to escalate (eg cadets in accommodation leading to horseplay)
1	Minor	 Small amount of physical exertion Unnoticeable or self-repairing damage to non-protected environment/

Step 4 - Review the generic risk assessment and update if necessary - All generic risk assessments should be regularly reviewed at a frequency proportional to the risk prior to any controls being proposed. In practice generic risk assessments should be reviewed at least annually, or more frequently:

 where required by local instructions/procedures;
 if the safe execution of the activity relies on stringent supervision and/or adherence to a safe system of work;
 if there is reason to doubt the effectiveness of the accessment

assessment.

following an accident or near miss.
following significant changes to the task, process, procedure, equipment, personnel or management.
following the introduction of more vulnerable personnel

(e.g. persons under 18 or pregnant persons).

Risk Rating	How Risk should be managed
1 – 4 (Very Low)	
5 – 9 (Low)	<u>Review periodically</u> to ensure conditions have not changed and working within ALARP and risk appetite.
10 – 12 (Medium)	
15 – 16 (Medium to High)	Good risk mitigations to ensure that the impact remains ALARP and tolerable. Reassess frequently to ensure conditions remain the same.
20 (High)	<u>Requires active management</u> – review of desired outcome with additional resources or change to output requirements.
25 (Very High)	Exceptional Circumstances must have demonstrable positive impact which is unachievable with lower risk.